UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

TRENT GARDNEF	TR	F١	JT.	GA	RI)N	FR	?
---------------	----	----	-----	----	----	----	----	---

Petitioner,		
v. MARY BERGHUIS,		CASE NO. 2:12-CV-14138 HONORABLE GEORGE CARAM STEEH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
Respondent	. /	

OPINION AND ORDER DENYING THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION [DKT. # 24], DENYING A CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY ON THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, AND LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS

On May 18, 2016, the Court denied the petition for writ of habeas corpus that had been filed by petitioner pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, declined to issue a certificate of appealability, and denied petitioner leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. *Gardner v. Berghuis,* No. 2:12-CV-14138, 2016 WL 2894490 (E.D. Mich. May 18, 2016).

Petitioner has filed a motion for reconsideration. For the reasons that follow, the motion for reconsideration is DENIED.

E.D. Mich. LR 7.1(h) governs motions for reconsideration. However, a motion for reconsideration which presents the same issues already ruled upon by the court, either expressly or by reasonable implication, will not be granted. *Ford Motor Co. v. Greatdomains.com, Inc.,* 177 F. Supp. 2d 628, 632 (E.D. Mich. 2001). A motion for reconsideration should be granted if the movant demonstrates a palpable defect by which the court and the parties have been misled and show that correcting the defect

will lead to a different disposition of the case. See DirecTV, Inc. v. Karpinsky, 274 F.

Supp. 2d 918, 921 (E.D. Mich. 2003).

Petitioner's motion for reconsideration will be denied, because petitioner is

merely presenting issues which were already ruled upon by this Court, either expressly

or by reasonable implication, when the Court denied petitioner's habeas application and

declined to issue a certificate of appealability or leave to appeal in forma pauperis. See

Hence v. Smith, 49 F. Supp. 2d 547, 553 (E.D. Mich. 1999).

A certificate of appealability is required to appeal the denial of a motion for

reconsideration in a habeas case. See e.g. Amr v. U.S., 280 Fed. Appx. 480, 486 (6th

Cir. 2008). This Court will deny petitioner a certificate of appealability, because jurists

of reason would not find this Court's resolution of petitioner's motion for reconsideration

to be debatable. The Court will deny petitioner leave to appeal in forma pauperis

because any appeal would be frivolous. Hence, 49 F. Supp. 2d at 549.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion for reconsideration [Dkt. # 24] is

DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability regarding the

motion for reconsideration and leave to appeal in forma pauperis are **DENIED**.

Dated: June 9, 2016

s/George Caram Steeh

GEORGE CARAM STEEH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-2-

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were served upon attorneys of record on June 9, 2016, by electronic and/or ordinary mail and also on Trent Gardner #759967, Macomb Correctional Facility, 34625 26 Mile Road, New Haven, MI 48048.

s/Barbara Radke Deputy Clerk